E D

https://www.newspapers.com/image/888121724

Planning refusal 719 926 746 761 3160 202 174 290 062 166 202 174 290 062 166 202 174 290 062 166 202 174 290 062 166 202 174 290 068 174 287 290 068 174 287 290 174 287 290 174 287 290 174 2 of of fo slammed Planning permission had been refused for a bungalow at Wood-hurst. This was just typical of the Huntingdon and Peterborough county planners' negative attitude towards development in the rural areas, it was claimed at St. Ives Rural Council Housing Committee. The council heard that the application by Mr. I. J. Myers for a bungalow on a plot next to his home at Cherry Tree House, Church Street, had been turned down because the village was in the county council's development class 1. bi be tie Si st Ci St be to th class I. This meant that they considered development unlikely in the vildevelopment unlikely in the village. The committee's chairman, Coun. Douglas Wright, said that the council had supported this application very strongly when they first considered it, because they wanted to build up Woodhurst, which they felt was becoming a dying village. The Surveyor, Mr. R. J. Todd, said that the plot, which measured 100ft by 45ft 6in, was a most suitable area for development. Tradesmen Coun. Wright said that if the villages continued to decay as they were doing, soon there would be no tradesmen. "It will not be worth their while going to these villages where the population is not increasing. I would not be surprised if some of the shops closed in these smaller villages. I am very worried about it." fo O ap The committee agreed to support any appeal that Mr. Myers may make against the decision of the county council. U sh This move follows their strong criticism, at Thursday's full council meeting, of the county council's out-dated development policy for the rural areas, which the rural council claimed was causing many smaller villagers to stagnate and decay SC

om on 11.